



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 9, Special Issue 1, April 2026



Innovative Solid Waste Management Strategies in Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation

Narsaiah Shivakoti

Department of Zoology, Govt. Degree College, Hayat Nagar, Rangareddy, Telangana, India

drsnr.shivkoti@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT: The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (GHMC) has implemented a multifaceted approach to solid waste management (SWM) to address the city's growing urbanization challenges. Adopting sustainable techniques such as bio-mining, bioremediation, and integrated waste collection systems has significantly improved waste disposal efficiency. Furthermore, developing an IT-enabled Control Command Centre (CCC) ensures real-time monitoring of waste collection vehicles. The implementation of a comprehensive Plastic Waste Management Strategy promotes circular economy principles. This paper explores these strategies, their effectiveness, and areas for further improvement.

KEYWORDS: Solid waste management, GHMC, bio-mining, bioremediation, circular economy, waste collection, IT-enabled monitoring.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hyderabad, one of India's fastest-growing metropolitan cities, faces immense challenges in solid waste management due to rapid population growth and urbanization. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (GHMC) has introduced innovative and sustainable methods to address these challenges. This study examines the techniques adopted by GHMC, their impact on waste management, and future improvements.

II. SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN GHMC

2.1 Bio-mining and Bioremediation

Bio-mining and bioremediation are key waste management techniques used by GHMC to tackle legacy waste in landfills. These methods involve the biological breakdown of organic waste, reducing landfill dependency and environmental pollution. Bio-mining (bioleaching) uses microorganisms to extract valuable metals from ores and mine waste. It is an eco-friendly alternative to traditional mining techniques.

2.2 Microorganisms in Bio-mining

Microorganisms like *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans*, and *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans* play key roles in metal solubilization. These microbes oxidize sulfide minerals, releasing metals such as copper, gold, and nickel (Johnson, 2014; Rohwerder et al., 2018).

2.3 Mechanisms of Bio-mining Bio-mining occurs via: Direct Mechanism: Microorganisms attach to mineral surfaces and enzymatically oxidize metal sulfides.

Indirect Mechanism: Microbes produce ferric ions and sulfuric acid, which dissolve metals from ores (Rawlings, 2002; Brierley & Brierley, 2013).

2.4 Applications of Bio-mining

Copper Extraction: Used in commercial copper recovery from low-grade ores (Bosecker, 1997). Gold Recovery: Microbial pretreatment enhances gold recovery from refractory ores (Rawlings et al., 2003). Rare Earth Elements (REEs): Emerging research focuses on the bioleaching of REEs from e-waste.



2.5 Challenges and Future Prospects

Challenges include slow processing rates, biofilm formation issues, and limitations in recovering specific metals (Gahan et al., 2012).

III. BIOREMEDIATION: MICROBIAL DETOXIFICATION OF POLLUTANTS

Bioremediation utilizes microbial metabolism to degrade or transform pollutants into non-toxic forms (Vidali, 2001).

3.1 Types of Bioremediations

In-situ Bioremediation: Treatment occurs at the contaminated site (e.g., oil spill cleanup).

Ex-situ Bioremediation: Contaminated material is removed for treatment elsewhere (e.g., bioreactors) (Macaulay & Rees, 2014).

3.2 Microorganisms in Bioremediation

Key microbes include *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Rhodococcus*, and *Arthrobacter*, which are known for degrading hydrocarbons, heavy metals, and pesticides (Alexander, 1999).

3.3 Bioremediation Mechanisms

Bioaugmentation: Adding specific microbes to enhance degradation.

Biostimulation: Enhancing native microbial activity via nutrient addition (Gentry et al., 2004).

Phytoremediation: Plants absorb pollutants (Salt et al., 1998).

3.4 Applications of Bioremediation

Petroleum Hydrocarbon Cleanup: Used in oil spill response (Atlas & Hazen, 2011). Heavy Metal Detoxification: Microbial reduction of toxic metals (Lovley, 2003). Plastic Degradation: Bacterial enzymes break down plastics (Geyer et al., 2017).

3.5 Challenges and Future Prospects

Limitations include slow microbial degradation rates, environmental variability, and incomplete pollutant breakdown (Macaulay, 2015).

Future studies focus on genetic engineering and synthetic biology approaches (Singh et al., 2019).

IV. IT-ENABLED CONTROL COMMAND CENTRE (CCC)

GHMC has established an advanced Control Command Centre (CCC) to enhance real-time waste collection tracking and grievance redressal. The CCC utilizes GPS-enabled waste collection vehicles to optimize operational efficiency and improve public service.

The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (GHMC) has established an advanced Control Command Centre (CCC) to revolutionize waste collection management and enhance grievance redressal mechanisms. The CCC integrates state-of-the-art technology, including GPS-enabled waste collection vehicles, to ensure real-time tracking, route optimization, and efficient resource allocation.

4.1 Real-time Waste Collection Tracking

A significant challenge in urban waste management is ensuring timely and efficient collection. By equipping waste collection vehicles with GPS tracking systems, GHMC monitors their real-time movement, thereby minimizing delays and ensuring adherence to pre-defined collection routes. According to studies on innovative waste management systems, GPS-based tracking significantly enhances the efficiency of municipal solid waste (MSW) collection by reducing operational costs and improving coverage. Furthermore, GHMC's CCC enables authorities to swiftly respond to deviations, breakdowns, or other logistical issues, ensuring uninterrupted waste collection services.



4.2. Grievance Redressal Mechanism

Another key function of the CCC is public grievance redressal. Citizens can register complaints related to waste collection through dedicated helplines, mobile applications, and online portals. These complaints are then systematically logged, categorized, and assigned to relevant field teams for immediate action. Studies on innovative governance in urban waste management (Gupta et al., 2020) highlight that integrating technology-driven grievance redressal systems improves accountability and enhances citizen satisfaction.

4.3. Operational Efficiency and Public Service Improvement

GHMC's CCC ensures better decision-making and resource utilization by leveraging data analytics and digital dashboards. Automated vehicle tracking reduces missed garbage pickups, improving neighborhoods and improving hygiene. Research indicates that innovative waste collection models, which include GPS tracking and IoT-enabled monitoring, can enhance efficiency by up to 30% (Suthar & Patel, 2021).

V. PLASTIC WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

To combat plastic pollution, GHMC, in collaboration with international organizations, has devised a Plastic Waste Management Strategy emphasizing the 3Rs (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle). The strategy aligns with the circular economy model, aiming to minimize plastic waste through adequate segregation and recycling (UNEP, 2023).

5.1. Plastic Waste Management Strategies

Plastic waste management involves a combination of policy interventions, technological advancements, and community participation. The following strategies are widely adopted to address plastic waste issues effectively:

5.2 Reduce, Reuse, Recycle (3Rs Approach)

The 3Rs approach is a fundamental principle in waste management that aims to minimize plastic waste generation and maximize resource recovery.

Reduce: Encouraging the use of alternatives to plastics, such as biodegradable materials, paper-based packaging, and reusable products, to minimize plastic dependency (Hopewell et al., 2009).

Reuse: Promoting extended producer responsibility (EPR) programs, refill stations, and reusable containers to reduce single-use plastics (Klemeš et al., 2020).

Recycle: Enhancing recycling infrastructure and technologies to improve the quality and efficiency of plastic waste recycling, including mechanical and chemical recycling methods.

5.3 Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR): EPR is a policy-driven approach where manufacturers and brand owners are held accountable for the lifecycle of their plastic products. Governments worldwide have mandated EPR schemes to:

Promote eco-design and sustainable packaging solutions.

Establish reverse logistics systems for plastic collection and recycling.

Incentivize companies to reduce plastic waste generation (OECD, 2016).

5.4 Advanced Recycling Technologies

Traditional recycling processes face limitations due to contamination and degradation of plastic polymers. Advanced recycling technologies, such as chemical recycling and pyrolysis, break down plastic waste into raw materials that can be reused in manufacturing.

Mechanical Recycling: Shredding, washing, and remolding plastics into new products.

Chemical Recycling: Depolymerization techniques that convert plastics into monomers for repurposing (Rahimi & García, 2017).

Pyrolysis & Gasification: Thermochemical processes that convert plastic waste into fuels and raw chemicals.



5.5 Ban on Single-Use Plastic

Many countries have banned single-use plastics (SUPs) to curb plastic pollution. The European Union's Directive 2019/904 prohibits using plastic straws, cutlery, and plates, while India's Plastic Waste Management Rules (2021) impose restrictions on certain plastic products (EU Commission, 2019; MoEFCC, 2021).

5.6 Waste-to-Energy (WTE) and Co-processing

Non-recyclable plastic waste can be utilized for energy recovery through incineration or co-processing in cement kilns. While WTE reduces landfill dependency, concerns regarding air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions remain challenging (Arena, 2012).

5.7. Biodegradable and Alternative Materials

Research into bioplastics and biodegradable alternatives aims to replace conventional plastics with eco-friendly materials derived from corn starch, sugarcane, and algae. However, high production costs and limited composting infrastructure hinder large-scale adoption (Song et al., 2009).

5.8 Challenges in Plastic Waste Management

Despite various strategies, several challenges persist in achieving effective plastic waste management:

Low Recycling Rates Due to contamination, mixed plastic compositions, and inefficient collection systems (Jam Beck et al., 2015).

Lack of Consumer Awareness: Poor segregation at the source reduces the effectiveness of recycling programs.

Inadequate Infrastructure: Limited recycling facilities and improper waste disposal contribute to environmental pollution.

Regulatory Gaps: Variability in policies and enforcement across different regions creates inconsistencies in plastic waste management.

Microplastic Pollution: The breakdown of plastic waste into microplastics (<5mm) poses risks to marine ecosystems and human health (Geyer et al., 2017).

5.9. Global Best Practices

Several countries have adopted innovative policies and technologies to enhance plastic waste management:

Sweden: Implements a circular economy model where over 90% of plastic waste is recycled or recovered for energy production.

Germany: Operates an effective EPR system, ensuring that over 56% of plastic packaging waste is recycled.

Japan: Utilizes advanced mechanical and chemical recycling technologies, with a recycling rate of over 84%.

5.9. Impact and Challenges While GHMC's initiatives have led to improved waste management efficiency, several challenges remain:

Waste Segregation: Public participation in waste segregation remains low.

Infrastructure Development: Better landfill management and recycling facilities are needed.

Financial Constraints: Sustainable funding is essential for long-term operations.

VI. CONCLUSION

GHMC's innovative waste management strategies have significantly improved solid waste handling in Hyderabad. Integrating bio-mining, bioremediation, IT-enabled waste tracking, and a comprehensive plastic waste management strategy demonstrates sustainable urban waste management potential. However, further advancements are required in waste segregation awareness, infrastructure development, and financial sustainability to enhance long-term efficiency. Strengthening regulatory enforcement, expanding community participation, and investing in cutting-edge waste management technologies will ensure a cleaner and more sustainable Hyderabad.



REFERENCES

1. Alexander, M. (1999). *Biodegradation and bioremediation*. Academic Press.
2. Arena, U. (2012). Process and technological aspects of municipal solid waste gasification: A review. *Waste Management*, 32(4), 625-639.
3. Atlas, R. M., & Hazen, T. C. (2011). Oil biodegradation and bioremediation: A tale of the two worst spills in U.S. history. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(16), 6709-6715.
4. Bosecker, K. (1997). Bioleaching: Metal solubilization by microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 20(3-4), 591-604.
5. Brierley, C. L., & Brierley, J. A. (2013). Progress in bioleaching: Part B. Applications of microbial processes by the minerals industries. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 97(17), 7543-7552.
6. European Commission. (2019). *Directive (EU) 2019/904 on reducing the impact of certain plastic products on the environment*.
7. Gahan, C. S., Srichandan, H., Kim, D. J., & Akcil, A. (2012). Biohydrometallurgy and biomineral processing technology: a review on its past, present and future. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, ISSN, 2277, 2502.
8. Gentry, T. J., Rensing, C., & Pepper, I. L. (2004). New approaches for bioaugmentation as a remediation technology. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 34(5), 447-494.
9. Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, 3(7), e1700782.
10. Gupta, R., Saini, M., & Kumar, P. (2020). Innovative governance and IoT-based waste management for sustainable urban development. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 52, 101846.
11. Hopewell, J., Dvorak, R., & Kosior, E. (2009). Plastics recycling: Challenges and opportunities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1526), 2115-2126.
12. Jambeck, J. R., et al. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, 347(6223), 768-771.
13. Johnson, D. B. (2014). Biomining—Biotechnologies for extracting and recovering metals from ores and waste materials. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 30, 24-31.
14. Klemeš, J. J., Fan, Y. V., & Jiang, P. (2020). Plastics: Friend or foe? The circular economy and COVID-19. *Science of the Total Environment*, 744, 14091.
15. Macaulay, B. M., & Rees, D. (2014). Bioremediation of oil spills: A review of challenges for research advancement. *Annals of Environmental Science*, 8, 9-37.
16. Mamun, M. A., Hannan, M. A., & Hussain, A. (2018). Real-time waste management system using IoT-based smart bin. *International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology*, 15(2), 319-329.
17. Ministry of the Environment, Japan. (2020). *Japan's resource circulation policy*.
18. OECD. (2016). *Extended producer responsibility: Guidance for efficient waste management*.
19. Rahimi, A., & García, J. M. (2017). Chemical recycling of waste plastics for new materials production. *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, 1(6), 1-11.
20. Rawlings, D. E. (2002). Heavy metal mining using microbes. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 56, 65-91.
21. Rohwerder, Michael. "Passivity of metals and the kelvin probe technique." (2018): 414-422.
22. Salt, D. E., Smith, R. D., & Raskin, I. (1998). Phytoremediation. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 49, 643-668.
23. Singh, J. S., Abhilash, P. C., & Singh, H. B. (2019). Genetically engineered bacteria: An emerging tool for environmental remediation and future research perspectives. *Gene Reports*, 14, 100172.
24. Song, J. H., et al. (2009). Biodegradable and compostable alternatives to conventional plastics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1526), 2127-2139.
25. Suthar, S., & Patel, M. (2021). Optimizing municipal waste collection using GIS and GPS technologies: A case study of innovative city waste management. *Waste Management & Research*, 39(4), 567-579.
26. UNEP. (2022). *Global plastic waste statistics and challenges*.



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com